

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Development of a mathematical model and analysis of the impact of fuel system malfunctions on the dynamics of the diesel engine

Kamil Khafizov*, Artur Timirzyanov* and Ramil Khafizov

Kazan State Agrarian University, 65 Karl Marx St., Kazan, 420015, Russia

Abstract – Conventional diagnosis of mechanically governed diesel fuel systems requires removal and bench testing of pumps and injectors, whereas existing non-intrusive methods rarely relate crankshaft-speed features to specific physical faults. This study develops an integrated model of the MMZ D-243 four-cylinder diesel engine to quantify how wear and malfunctions in its fuel system distort crankshaft rotation. A single-zone first-law cylinder model with Wiebe heat release is coupled to slider-crank dynamics and parametric sub-models of the in-line UTNM pump, hydromechanical injectors, and air path. Seven fault families are simulated in MATLAB from idle to rated speed and from 30% to full load. Faults that unbalance cyclic fuel delivery dominate the coefficient of rotational unevenness: single-section plunger wear increases it by 19.6%, pump-section maladjustment by 13.6%, and delivery-valve leakage by 9.3%. Uniform air or fuel starvation reduces the coefficient slightly but decreases power by up to 32%. Wall-heat-transfer, operating-point, sensitivity, and Monte Carlo analyses preserve the fault ranking. A cylinder-resolved peak-acceleration ratio separates the faulty and healthy cases by approximately eight standard deviations, whereas the global coefficient provides only about two. A diagnostic threshold of 0.94 is therefore proposed for cylinder-by-cylinder screening.

Article History

Received : 17 April 2026

Revised : 22 June 2026

Accepted : 16 July 2026

Published : 25 September 2026

Keywords

Diesel engine

Diagnostics

Mathematical modelling

Fuel system

Rotational unevenness

High-pressure fuel pump (HPFP)

1. Introduction

Mechanically governed diesel engines remain widely used in agricultural and off-road machinery, where reliable field diagnosis is important because removal of the high-pressure fuel pump and injectors for bench testing is time-consuming and can introduce additional assembly errors. Injection-system settings strongly influence diesel-engine performance and emissions [1], while wear of precision injector components degrades both operating and environmental characteristics [2]. Analysis of dynamic fuel-rail pressure can identify pump and injector faults [3], but it relies on access to the fuel-pressure signal. A low-cost method based on signals already available on the engine is therefore desirable. Instantaneous crankshaft rotational speed and the angular acceleration derived from it are attractive because both respond directly to the torque contribution of each cylinder. Early work demonstrated diesel-fault detection from instantaneous angular speed [4]. Subsequent condition-monitoring studies established practical speed-based diagnosis [5] and showed that malfunctions can be localised from the rotational signal of multi-cylinder engines [6].

Recent studies have extended this approach with data-driven methods, including digital-twin attention networks [7], time-frequency and vision-transformer models [8], long short-term memory (LSTM) classifiers using crank speed [9], and domain-adaptive convolutional networks designed specifically for noisy cross-domain misfire diagnosis [10]. Crank-angular-velocity-based misfire detection has also been refined by correcting for in-cylinder gas properties [11]. Instantaneous-speed methods have been applied to dual-fuel engine misfire diagnosis [12], direct localisation of the faulty cylinder in multi-cylinder diesel engines [13], and multi-speed misfire classification [14]. Complementary work uses vibration, in-cylinder pressure, spectral features, and multi-sensor monitoring. Machine-learning misfire identification has been demonstrated for marine engines [15], while pressure-based data models have been developed for engine-fault diagnosis [16]. Recent studies based on spectral analysis [17], vibration condition monitoring [18], air-flow-sensor failure detection [19], and real-time convolutional networks [20] illustrate the breadth of available signals. Earlier diesel-engine vibration and acoustic emission research supports non-intrusive monitoring [21]. Related IJAME work demonstrates neural network residual-based fault detection in rotating machinery using existing process variables [22] and shows that diesel-engine acoustic features vary systematically with operating conditions [23]. Data-driven performance and combustion models have also advanced: regression and neural network approaches predict diesel performance [24], supervised learning evaluates dual-biodiesel blends [25], numerical models assess post-injection in diesel-syngas combustion [26], and cycle-resolved studies quantify combustion variability [27]. Two gaps nevertheless remain. First, most recent methods either require large labelled datasets or are specific to one experimental engine, and they do not directly map a measured crankshaft speed feature to a named physical parameter of a mechanically governed pump-line-nozzle system. Second, diagnostic recommendations are commonly qualitative; a statistically grounded threshold that separates a weak cylinder from cycle-to-cycle scatter is rarely reported.

The aim of this work is therefore to develop an integrated mathematical model of the MMZ D-243 diesel engine, quantify and rank the effects of the main fuel system faults on crankshaft rotational unevenness, and derive a cylinder-resolved diagnostic feature with a quantitative detection threshold. The study couples cylinder thermodynamics, crank-mechanism dynamics, and parametric fuel-equipment sub-models; verifies numerical convergence; evaluates fault scenarios across speed and load; quantifies parameter sensitivity and uncertainty; and compares the proposed feature with

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR | K.Khafizov | fts-kgau@mail.ru

alternative diagnostic routes. The contribution is not a new combustion law but a physically interpretable link between specific fuel-equipment defects and the instantaneous speed signature, together with a statistically supported criterion for cylinder-by-cylinder screening.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Object of Study

The object of study is the MMZ D-243, a four-cylinder, four-stroke, naturally aspirated direct injection diesel engine; a turbocharged variant is also considered where noted. Its principal specifications are listed in Table 1. The engine is fitted with an in-line UTNM pump delivering injection pressures up to approximately 20 MPa, closed hydromechanical injectors, and a three-stage dry air cleaner. Figure 1 shows the test engine used as the reference for the model parameters, and Figure 2 defines the forces and geometry of the crank mechanism.

Table 1. Specifications of the MMZ D-243 diesel engine

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Unit
Engine type	–	In-line 4-cyl., 4-stroke, DI diesel	–
Number of cylinders	i	4	–
Total displacement	V_h	4.75	L
Cylinder bore	D	110	mm
Piston stroke	S	125	mm
Connecting-rod length	L	240	mm
Crank radius	R	62.5	mm
Compression ratio	ε	16	–
Firing order	–	1–3–4–2	–
Rated power	N_e	59.6 (81)	kW (hp)
Rated speed	n	2200	rpm
Maximum torque	M_{max}	298	N·m
Injection pump	–	In-line UTNM, plunger type	–
Maximum injection pressure	p_{inj}	≈ 20	MPa
Injector	–	Closed, hydromechanical	–
Air cleaner	–	Three-stage, dry	–



Figure 1. View of the D-243 engine on the test stand




Figure 2. Forces and geometry of the crank mechanism

2.2 Mathematical Model

The model is a coupled system of differential and algebraic equations describing, for each cylinder, the air charging, fuel delivery, combustion, and the resulting gas torque, together with the rotational dynamics of the crankshaft assembly. The cylinders are treated as identical in geometry but are supplied and phased individually, allowing a fault localised to one section or one injector to be represented and tracked through to the crankshaft signal.

2.2.1 Crankshaft Dynamics

The backbone of the model is the rotational form of Newton's second law for the crankshaft assembly [28],

$$J_{red} \frac{d\omega}{dt} = \sum_{i=1}^4 M_i(\varphi_i) - M_r(\omega), \quad \frac{d\varphi}{dt} = \omega, \quad \frac{d\omega}{dt} = \alpha \quad (1)$$

where J_{red} is the reduced moment of inertia of the rotating and reciprocating masses referred to the crankshaft ($\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^2$), ω is the angular velocity (rad/s), φ is the crank angle (rad), M_i is the instantaneous torque produced by the i -th cylinder (N·m), and M_r is the resistance torque from the external load and mechanical losses (N·m). The total engine torque is obtained by superposition of the individual cylinder contributions, each shifted by the phase of its working cycle,

$$M_{eng}(\varphi) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{cyl}} M_i(\varphi - \Delta\varphi_i) \quad (2)$$

where N_{cyl} is the number of cylinders and $\Delta\varphi_i$ is the phase offset of cylinder i relative to the first. For the D-243 firing order 1–3–4–2, the power strokes follow every 180° , so the offsets are 0° , 180° , 360° , and 540° for cylinders 1, 3, 4, and 2, respectively, and the full cycle spans 720° . The torque of one cylinder is derived from the gas force on the piston and the exact slider-crank geometry shown in Figure 2 [29],

$$M_i(\varphi) = p_i(\varphi) \frac{\pi D^2}{4} \frac{S}{2} \left[\sin\varphi + \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{\sin 2\varphi}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda^2 \sin^2\varphi}} \right] \quad (3)$$

where p_i is the in-cylinder pressure (Pa), the piston area is $A_p = \pi D^2/4$, and $\lambda = R/L \approx 0.26$ is the crank-to-rod ratio. The bracketed kinematic factor includes the connecting rod obliquity, so the model retains the asymmetry of the tangential force between the first and second halves of the stroke rather than assuming an infinitely long rod. Because the crankshaft speed is not constant within a cycle, the coefficient of rotational unevenness is defined as

$$\delta = \frac{\omega_{max} - \omega_{min}}{\omega_m} \quad (4)$$

where ω_{max} , ω_{min} , and ω_m are the maximum, minimum, and mean angular velocities over one cycle. A rise in δ reflects a larger relative swing in speed and is the integral indicator of cylinder imbalance used throughout this study.

2.2.2 In-Cylinder Thermodynamics

The working process of each cylinder is represented as a single open zone and described by the first law of thermodynamics. Using the ideal gas relation and the standard energy balance gives [30], [31]

$$\frac{dp}{d\varphi} = \frac{1}{V(\varphi)} \left[(\gamma - 1) \frac{dQ}{d\varphi} - \gamma p \frac{dV}{d\varphi} \right] \quad (5)$$

where V is the instantaneous cylinder volume (m^3), γ is the ratio of specific heats, and $dQ/d\varphi$ is the net heat-release rate (J/rad). The derivation follows the standard route: for an ideal gas the internal energy is $U = m_{cv}T$, Mayer's relation and the equation of state are used to express temperature through p and V , and after substituting the heat input and the displacement work $dW = p dV$ the result is rearranged into the form above. Two different values of γ are used for the compression and expansion parts of the cycle to reflect the change in charge composition and temperature. The cylinder volume is computed from the exact slider-crank kinematics,

$$V(\varphi) = V_c + \frac{\pi D^2}{4} \frac{S}{2} \left[(1 - \cos\varphi) + \frac{1}{\lambda} (1 - \sqrt{1 - \lambda^2 \sin^2\varphi}) \right] \quad (6)$$

with V_c the clearance volume fixed by the compression ratio. Heat release is represented by the Wiebe function [32]; the burned-mass fraction and its rate are

$$x(\varphi) = 1 - \exp \left[-a \left(\frac{\varphi - \varphi_0}{\Delta\varphi_z} \right)^{m+1} \right] \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{dQ}{d\varphi} = \frac{Q_c a (m+1)}{\Delta\varphi_z} \left(\frac{\varphi - \varphi_0}{\Delta\varphi_z} \right)^m \exp \left[-a \left(\frac{\varphi - \varphi_0}{\Delta\varphi_z} \right)^{m+1} \right] \quad (8)$$

where φ_0 is the start of combustion, $\Delta\varphi_z$ the combustion duration, m the shape factor, and $a = 6.908$ sets 99.9% completion at the end of the burn. The shape factor m controls the curvature of the release (Figure 3). Poor preparation of the mixture,

for example through nozzle coking or low injection pressure, makes combustion more sluggish, which appears in the model as a larger $\Delta\varphi_z$ and a lower m . The total heat available per cycle is tied to the delivered fuel,

$$Q_c = g_c H_u \eta_{comb} \quad (9)$$

with g_c the cyclic fuel mass, $H_u = 42.5$ MJ/kg the lower heating value, and η_{comb} a combustion-efficiency factor.




Figure 3. Heat-release rate for several values of the Wiebe shape factor m

Baseline calculations initially neglect heat exchange with the cylinder walls so that the influence of the fuel system can be isolated. To evaluate the impact of this simplification, a convective wall-loss term based on the Woschni correlation [33] was implemented as a separate option and used to test the robustness of the conclusions (Section 3.6):

$$\frac{dQ_w}{d\varphi} = \frac{h_c A_w (T - T_w)}{\omega}, \quad h_c = 3.26 D^{-0.2} p^{0.8} T^{-0.55} (C_l c_m)^{0.8} \quad (10)$$

Here h_c is the convective coefficient ($\text{W}/\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{K}$), A_w the instantaneous heat-transfer area, T and T_w the gas and wall temperatures, c_m the mean piston speed, and C_l a gas-velocity constant. The term is subtracted from the heat-release rate in Eq. (5) when the wall-loss option is active.

2.2.3 Air Supply System

The three-stage dry air cleaner is represented by its effect on the volumetric efficiency. In the naturally aspirated configuration, the intake pressure is close to ambient, and growing restriction across the filter lowers the pressure available to fill the cylinder. The reduced volumetric efficiency η_v decreases the trapped air mass, which in turn worsens combustion and is represented in the model as a higher $\Delta\varphi_z$ and a lower shape factor. In the turbocharged configuration the boost pressure raises η_v above unity and allows more fuel to be burned for a given displacement; the sensitivity to filter condition is retained because intake restriction also lowers the pressure ratio across the compressor.

2.2.4 Fuel Supply System

The fuel system sets the parameters that drive the combustion sub-model. For the in-line UTNM pump the cyclic delivery is the geometric stroke volume reduced by the leakage that escapes through the plunger-barrel clearance under injection pressure,

$$g_c = g_{geom} - g_{leak} \quad (11)$$

where g_{geom} is the geometric delivery fixed by the active plunger stroke and rack position, and g_{leak} is the back-leakage per cycle. The leakage is estimated from laminar film flow through the annular clearance, so it increases with the cube of the radial gap, the pressure difference across the plunger, and the injection duration, and decreases with fuel viscosity and overlap length. A pressure-wave-resolved treatment of a diesel fuel system is described in [34]; the present cycle-integrated model deliberately retains only the quantities that determine the released energy. Plunger-pair wear widens the clearance and sharply lowers the effective delivery, which is the physical origin of fault scenario S1. Fuel issuing from the injector is computed from the orifice-flow equation [35],

$$\dot{m} = \mu_{inj} A_{eff} \sqrt{2\rho(p_f - p_{cyl})} \quad (12)$$

where μ_{inj} is the discharge coefficient, A_{eff} is the effective nozzle area, ρ is the fuel density, and $p_f - p_{cyl}$ is the pressure drop across the holes. Coking reduces the effective area, while wear may enlarge it and lower the effective injection pressure; both defects are represented through A_{eff} , the injector opening pressure, and the combustion-duration and shape parameters.

Three hydraulic effects are deliberately left out of this sub-model: the compressibility of the fuel in the high-pressure line, the dynamics of the needle as a moving body, and the propagation of pressure waves along the pipe. These phenomena shape the fine structure of the injection rate trace and the cycle-to-cycle pressure pulsations, and their detailed treatment is a field in its own right. For the present purpose, however, the quantity that couples to the rotational signal is the heat released per cycle, which is fixed by the cycle-integrated delivered mass through Eqs. (9) and (11); the higher frequency hydraulic detail redistributes the injection within a cycle but changes the per-cycle energy balance only slightly. A coupled hydraulic model of the line and nozzle is identified as future work in Section 4.

2.3 Fault Scenarios and Choice of Severities

Each fault is introduced by changing the model parameters that it physically affects, as summarised in Table 2. Seven families are considered: S1, wear of the plunger pair of one section, modelled as a 30% loss of that section's cyclic delivery; S2, coking of an injector nozzle; S3, maladjustment of the pump sections, modelled as a $\pm 15\%$ spread in delivery between cylinders; S4, leakage of a delivery valve; S5, a drop in injector opening pressure; S6, air-filter restriction at 10%, 40%, and 60%; and S7, fuel-filter clogging or low-pressure-pump wear, again at 10%, 40%, and 60%.

Table 2. Representation of the main fuel system faults through model parameters

Fault / wear	Change in model parameters	Expected effect on acceleration α and power N
Plunger-pair wear (one section)	$g_leak \uparrow$ (Eq. 11), $g_c \downarrow$, $Q_c \downarrow$	Sharp drop in α peak of that cylinder; clear loss of its power contribution
Delivery-valve leakage	Lower residual pressure; later ϕ_0 ; $g_c \downarrow$	α peak shifted later and reduced; moderate power loss
Reduced injector pressure (p_inj)	Earlier ϕ_0 ; poorer atomisation ($\Delta\phi_z \uparrow$, $m \downarrow$)	Altered shape of the α curve; small power loss
Nozzle coking	$A_eff \downarrow$ (Eq. 12); $\Delta\phi_z \uparrow$, $m \downarrow$	Sluggish acceleration, lower α peak; power loss
Nozzle-hole wear	$A_eff \uparrow$; lower pressure, poorer atomisation	Lower α peak; power loss
Pump-section maladjustment	Cylinder-to-cylinder spread in g_c	Marked scatter of α peaks between cylinders
Air-filter restriction	$\Delta p_filter \uparrow$, $\eta_v \downarrow$ in all cylinders	Uniform fall in α ; overall power loss
Fuel-filter / LPFP wear	Lower pressure upstream of the HPFP; $g_c \downarrow$ under load	Uniform fall in α under load; overall power loss

The severity levels are not arbitrary; they bracket the range met in service. The 30% delivery loss assigned to single-section plunger wear corresponds to the clearance growth at which pump-overhaul practice condemns a plunger pair, while the $\pm 15\%$ spread used for maladjustment is the band beyond which sections are re-trimmed on the bench. For the filters, the 10% level represents a lightly fouled element that is still within its service interval, 40% approximates the intake-restriction rise at which replacement is recommended, and 60% stands for a neglected, severely clogged element. The intent is to span light, marginal, and severe conditions rather than to fix a single representative value, so that the trends rather than one specific number carry the diagnostic message.

2.4 Numerical Implementation and Verification

The equations were integrated in MATLAB using an adaptive explicit Runge–Kutta scheme (the Dormand–Prince pair). Each cylinder was advanced over its closed period with relative and absolute tolerances of 10^{-6} , and the cylinder torques were superposed on a common crank angle grid of 0.5° to form the engine torque, from which speed and acceleration were obtained. The baseline parameters were calibrated so that the indicated power and torque reproduce the rated figures of the D-243: the computed 61.0 kW and 291 N·m at 2000 rpm correspond to the rated 59.6 kW and 298 N·m when a mechanical efficiency of approximately 0.93 is applied. This anchors the absolute model baseline to the specified engine performance.

Independence of the result from the discretisation was checked by halving the integration step and tightening the tolerances together. As Table 3 shows, the baseline coefficient of rotational unevenness changes by less than 2% as the step is reduced from 2° to 0.1° , and the fault increment for S1 settles to within a few tenths of a percentage point. The working grid of 0.5° with a tolerance of 10^{-6} lies within this converged region and was used for all subsequent calculations.

Table 3. Independence of the baseline solution from integration step and tolerance

Step (deg)	Tolerance (rel.)	δ baseline	$\Delta\delta$ for S1 (%)
2.0	10^{-4}	0.011850	18.30
1.0	10^{-5}	0.011796	19.21
0.5	10^{-6}	0.011770	19.64
0.25	10^{-7}	0.011754	19.88
0.1	10^{-8}	0.011744	20.02

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Baseline and Parametric Results

Table 4 collects the power, torque, rotational unevenness coefficient, and its relative change for the baseline engine and for every fault scenario at 2000 rpm. The calculated power is the indicated value, and the comparison of interest is the relative response of δ to each defect. The healthy engine has $\delta \approx 0.0118$. The largest increase, to 0.0141, comes from plunger pair wear in a single section; maladjustment of the sections is next, and delivery valve leakage follows. By contrast, the air- and fuel-restriction scenarios reduce the average torque and power but do not amplify the imbalance, so they leave δ slightly lower while power falls steeply, by as much as 32% in the most severe fuel-starvation case.

Table 4. Parametric modelling of MMZ D-243 faults at 2000 rpm

Scenario	Power [kW]	Torque [N·m]	δ [-]	$\Delta\delta$ [%]
Baseline	60.97	291.1	0.0118	+0.0
S1: plunger wear (cyl. 3)	56.77	271.1	0.0141	+19.6
S2: injector coking (cyl. 3)	60.75	290.0	0.0118	+0.6
S3: pump maladjustment	60.97	291.1	0.0134	+13.6
S4: delivery valve (cyl. 3)	58.87	281.1	0.0129	+9.3
S5: injector pressure (cyl. 3)	60.79	290.3	0.0118	+0.4
S6: air filter (10%)	60.81	290.4	0.0117	-0.4
S6: air filter (40%)	60.44	288.6	0.0117	-0.7
S6: air filter (60%)	60.07	286.8	0.0117	-1.0
S7: fuel filter / LPFP (10%)	58.18	277.8	0.0115	-2.2
S7: fuel filter / LPFP (40%)	49.77	237.7	0.0108	-8.5
S7: fuel filter / LPFP (60%)	41.38	197.6	0.0100	-14.8

3.2 Indicator Diagrams

Figure 4 compares the pressure traces of cylinder 3 for the baseline and two representative faults. A defect that reduces the actual delivery, as in S1, lowers the peak pressure because less fuel is burned. Coking, S2, acts differently: the pressure maximum moves to a later angle and the rise becomes flatter, which is the signature of delayed, sluggish combustion rather than of a smaller heat input.




Figure 4. In-cylinder pressure for the baseline and two fault scenarios

3.3 Torque

The engine torque responds not only to the mean heat-release level but to how work is shared between cylinders. Figure 5 shows a local dip in the power-stroke segment of the affected cylinder for S1 and S3. Because the dip is locked to the phase of one cylinder, it can be attributed to that cylinder, thereby enabling cylinder-by-cylinder diagnosis.




Figure 5. Engine torque for the baseline and two fault scenarios

3.4 Angular Acceleration

The angular acceleration follows from the equation of motion as the time derivative of the crankshaft speed,

$$\alpha(\varphi) = \frac{d\omega}{dt} \quad (13)$$

The quantity is computed for each cylinder over its power stroke. Angular acceleration is the most informative signal examined. In Figure 6, fault S1 produces a clear reduction in the positive acceleration peak during the power stroke of the third cylinder, while the other peaks remain nearly unchanged. Under S3, mean engine power changes little, yet the acceleration peaks diverge between cylinders, as expected for section maladjustment that redistributes an almost unchanged mean energy input. This selective, phase-locked behaviour is the basis of the diagnostic feature developed in Section 3.9.




Figure 6. Crankshaft angular acceleration for the baseline and two fault scenarios

3.5 Angular Velocity and Filter Clogging

The instantaneous angular velocity in Figure 7 shows the same effect from a different perspective: a fault that weakens one cylinder deepens the deceleration following that cylinder's power stroke. The rotational unevenness coefficient is therefore more sensitive to local fuel system faults than to a uniform loss of power. Figure 8 shows the contrasting response to fuel filter and low-pressure-line restriction. As restriction increases, the torque amplitude decreases approximately uniformly across the cycle; the weakening is global rather than cylinder-specific, so it appears mainly as a power loss rather than an increase in rotational unevenness.




Figure 7. Fluctuation of crankshaft angular velocity




Figure 8. Effect of fuel-filter restriction on engine torque

3.6 Effect of Wall Heat Transfer

To test whether neglecting wall heat transfer in the baseline distorts the conclusions, the Woschni term of Eq. (10) was activated and the calculations were repeated. The effect on absolute level is appreciable: the baseline indicated power falls from 61.0 to 54.5 kW, about 11%, which brings the model closer to the rated brake figure, and the peak cylinder pressure is slightly reduced (Figure 9, left). The effect on the diagnostic ranking, however, is small. As the right panel of Figure 9 shows, the fault increments shift only modestly when heat transfer is included: S1 from +19.6 to +17.9%, S3 from +13.6 to +12.4%, S4 from +9.3 to +7.7%, and the severe fuel-starvation case S7 from -8.5 to -7.9%. The order of the faults and the sign of every response are unchanged, so the omission of wall losses in the baseline affects the absolute numbers but not the physical interpretation or the ranking on which the diagnostic rests.




Figure 9. Robustness to wall heat transfer: effect on cylinder pressure (left) and on the diagnostic metric $\Delta\delta$ (right)

3.7 Behaviour Across Speeds and Loads

The fault signature must survive the change of operating point if it is to be useful in the field. The model was therefore run over a grid spanning idle to rated speeds, and from part to full loads; the results for the baseline and for the plunger-wear fault S1 are given in Table 5 and Figure 10. The healthy coefficient itself rises at low speed and load, from about 0.012 at the rated point to 0.052 near idle, which is expected because fewer and weaker power strokes act on the same inertia. The S1 increment persists clearly throughout: it is +8.4% at 800 rpm and 30% load, +15.0% at 1400 rpm and 65% load, close to +19.6% at full load between 2000 and 2200 rpm, and +12.5% at 2000 rpm and half load. The fault is thus detectable across the entire working envelope, although the baseline against which it is measured must be taken at a comparable operating point.

Table 5. Baseline and S1 fault across operating points

Speed [rpm]	Load [%]	Power [kW]	δ baseline [-]	$\Delta\delta$ for S1 [%]	$\Delta\delta$ for S3 [%]
800	30	8.7	0.0519	+8.4	+5.9
1400	65	29.0	0.0205	+15.0	+10.4
2000	100	61.0	0.0118	+19.6	+13.6
2200	100	67.1	0.0097	+19.6	+13.6
2000	50	33.0	0.0093	+12.5	+8.7




Figure 10. Increase in rotational unevenness for fault S1 across speed and load

3.8 Parameter Sensitivity

A one-at-a-time sensitivity study quantifies how strongly δ responds to each model input. Every parameter was perturbed by $\pm 10\%$ about the baseline, and the mean absolute change in δ recorded; the sensitivity coefficient of Eq. (16) normalises this response. The ranking in Table 6 and Figure 11 is clear. The reduced inertia dominates, with a 10.1% mean change, but it is a calibration constant rather than a fault and simply scales the whole signal as $\delta \propto 1/J$. The next parameter is the cyclic delivery of a single cylinder, at 6.93%, and the imbalance nature of its effect is revealed by the fact that both a positive and a negative perturbation raise δ . A uniform change of the mean fuelling across all cylinders moves δ by about half as much, 4.2%, while injection timing, combustion duration, and the Wiebe shape factor are an order of magnitude weaker, at 0.46%, 0.32%, and 0.03%, respectively. The picture is internally consistent: rotational unevenness is controlled by the balance of delivery between cylinders far more than by the quality of atomisation, which explains why supply-imbalance faults head the ranking in Table 4 while coking and low injection pressure barely register. In practice this also means that the inertia must be known accurately for the absolute δ to be trusted, whereas the cylinder-to-cylinder comparison used for diagnosis is unaffected by it.

Table 6. Sensitivity of the rotational-unevenness coefficient to model parameters ($\pm 10\%$ perturbation)

Parameter	$\Delta\delta$ at +10% [%]	$\Delta\delta$ at -10% [%]	Mean $ \Delta\delta $ [%]
Reduced inertia J_{red}	-9.09	+11.11	10.10
Single-cylinder fuelling g_c	+7.67	+6.18	6.93
Mean fuelling (all cylinders)	+4.23	-4.25	4.24
Injection timing ϕ_0	+0.06	+0.86	0.46
Combustion duration $\Delta\phi_z$	+0.09	+0.55	0.32
Wiebe shape factor m	-0.01	+0.05	0.03




Figure 11. Sensitivity of the rotational-unevenness coefficient to a $\pm 10\%$ change in each model parameter

3.9 Uncertainty and Diagnostic Thresholds

A diagnostic feature is useful only if it separates a fault from the natural scatter of the signal. Cycle-to-cycle variability was therefore imposed as random perturbations of $\pm 3\%$ in per-cylinder fuelling and $\pm 5\%$ in the remaining parameters, and 400 cycles were generated for the healthy engine and for fault S1. Figure 12 shows that the global coefficient δ for the healthy engine (0.0122 ± 0.0006) and the faulty engine (0.0142 ± 0.0007) overlap within approximately two standard deviations. A single global scalar metric is therefore unreliable when realistic scatter is included. The cylinder-resolved feature behaves differently. Defining the per-cylinder peak-acceleration ratio

$$R_k = \frac{\alpha_k^{peak}}{\max_j \alpha_j^{peak}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, 4 \quad (14)$$

as the smallest cylinder's acceleration peak divided by the largest, the healthy engine clusters at 0.976 ± 0.011 while the faulty engine falls to 0.827 ± 0.015 , a separation of about eight standard deviations. A cylinder is therefore flagged when

$$\min_k R_k < R_{thr}, \quad R_{thr} = \mu_R - 3\sigma_R \quad (15)$$

with the threshold $R_{thr} = \mu_R - 3\sigma_R \approx 0.94$ set three standard deviations below the healthy mean. Fault S1 violates this limit by nearly eight standard deviations and is therefore detected with high probability; under a Gaussian assumption, a one-sided three-standard-deviation threshold corresponds to a false alarm probability of approximately 0.13%. The sensitivity coefficient used in Section 3.8 is defined consistently as

$$S_{\theta} = \frac{1}{\delta_0} \cdot \frac{|\delta(1.1\theta) - \delta(0.9\theta)|}{0.2} \quad (16)$$

This analysis provides both the numerical threshold required by a tester or controller and the reason for monitoring cylinder-resolved acceleration rather than a single global coefficient: the former retains the imbalance information, whereas the latter is partly masked by cycle-to-cycle variation. The use of cycle-to-cycle scatter is qualitatively consistent with experimental combustion variability reported for a common-rail diesel engine [27] and with cylinder pressure and indicated mean effective pressure variability measured over 200 cycles in a small direct injection diesel engine [36].




Figure 12. Monte-Carlo separation of healthy and faulty engine by the global coefficient (left) and by the cylinder-resolved peak-acceleration ratio (right)

3.10 Validation Against Published Data and Rated Specifications

Pending a dedicated bench campaign, the model was assessed on three independent fronts. First, its indicated power and torque of 61.0 kW and 291 N·m reproduce the catalogued brake figures of 59.6 kW and 298 N·m after application of a realistic mechanical efficiency; the predicted peak cylinder pressure of approximately 6.5 MPa is physically plausible for a naturally aspirated direct injection diesel of this class. Second, published instantaneous-speed studies show that combustion faults alter phase-resolved crank-speed signatures [4]–[6], [11]–[14], in qualitative agreement with Figures 5–7; studies [6] and [13] specifically demonstrate localisation of the affected cylinder. Transient engine simulations predict the same coupling between cylinder torque and instantaneous speed [28]. Third, the predicted torsional response is consistent with combustion-related crankshaft-vibration studies [37]. Full experimental calibration against measured cylinder pressure and encoder speed traces remains the next validation step.

3.11 Comparison with Other Diagnostic Approaches

It is useful to place instantaneous speed analysis alongside other established routes to diesel-fault detection. Table 7 compares it with in-cylinder pressure measurement, vibration or acoustic monitoring, and exhaust gas analysis. The speed-based method is low cost and accessible because it reuses the crankshaft position sensor and flywheel already present on the engine, does not penetrate the combustion chamber, and localises supply-imbalance faults well. Its weakness is limited sensitivity to thermal or atomisation faults that change combustion shape without substantially changing delivered mass; Table 4 shows this for coking and low injector pressure, for which pressure or emissions are more direct. Vibration-based reviews demonstrate the value of non-intrusive condition monitoring [18]. Real-time learning-based classifiers can identify abnormal operating states [20], while vibration and acoustic emission studies show that fault-sensitive components can be isolated through signal processing [21]. Acoustic studies [23], [38] demonstrate sensitivity to engine speed, load, and fuel formulation, but do not by themselves establish cylinder fault localisation. Learning-based classifiers can be highly accurate but require labelled data and do not necessarily return a physical fault parameter. The present method is therefore intended as a first-line, cylinder-resolved screen for fuel delivery imbalance, complemented by pressure or emissions measurements when a thermal or atomisation defect is suspected.

Table 7. Comparison of crankshaft-speed analysis with other diagnostic approaches

Criterion	Crankshaft speed / accel.	Cylinder pressure	Vibration / acoustic	Exhaust-gas analysis
Sensor cost / intrusiveness	Low; existing CKP sensor	High; in-cylinder transducer	Low–moderate; external	Moderate; gas bench
Cylinder localisation	Good (phase-locked)	Direct, per cylinder	Moderate	Poor (mixed exhaust)
Sensitivity to supply imbalance	High	High	Moderate	Low–moderate
Sensitivity to atomisation / thermal faults	Low	High	Moderate	High
On-board feasibility	High	Low	Moderate	Low

3.12 Diagnostic Recommendations

The calculations support a practical scheme for non-intrusive cylinder-by-cylinder diagnosis built on the angular acceleration $a(\varphi)$ reconstructed from a high-resolution crankshaft position sensor reading the flywheel. The controller or motor tester evaluates the acceleration peak during the power stroke of each cylinder and forms the per-cylinder ratio of Eq. (14); a value below the threshold of Eq. (15) marks that cylinder as weak. Eight fuel system parameters can then be addressed in order of their diagnostic weight: (1) hydraulic tightness of the plunger pair; (2) uniformity of the section adjustment; (3) atomisation quality, that is, nozzle coking or wear; (4) injector opening pressure; (5) tightness of the delivery valve; (6) nozzle tightness; (7) condition of the air cleaner; and (8) pressure in the low-pressure line. A localised drop in one cylinder's acceleration peak, with the overall pattern preserved, points to a local loss of delivery or to poor atomisation in that cylinder, whereas a uniform reduction across all cylinders points instead to a general shortage of air or fuel.

A simple decision sequence follows from this. If the acceleration analysis shows a lag in, say, the fourth cylinder, the cylinder-piston group is first excluded by a relative compression check; the suspect injector is then exchanged with a known-good one, and if the defect moves with the injector the nozzle is at fault, while if it stays with the cylinder the corresponding pump section is implicated. Only a standard crankshaft-position sensor and a tester are required, so the method can be applied without dismantling the fuel equipment; this is its main practical advantage over bench testing.

4. Conclusions

An integrated model of the MMZ D-243 diesel engine was developed by coupling single-zone cylinder thermodynamics, slider-crank dynamics, and parametric sub-models of the fuel and air systems. Numerical independence from the integration step size and tolerances was verified. The main fuel system faults were then ranked by their influence on the coefficient of rotational unevenness. Faults that unbalance cyclic delivery between cylinders dominate: single section plunger wear increases the coefficient by 19.6%, pump section maladjustment by 13.6%, and delivery valve leakage by 9.3%. Uniform air or fuel restriction decreases the coefficient slightly while reducing power by as much as 32%.

The ranking remains stable when wall heat transfer, operating point, parameter sensitivity, and uncertainty are considered. The Woschni term reduces absolute power by approximately 11% but changes each fault increment by no more than about two percentage points and alters neither its sign nor its rank. The signature of the dominant fault persists from idle to rated speed and from 30% to full load. The sensitivity study shows that rotational unevenness is governed far more strongly by cylinder-to-cylinder delivery balance than by atomisation quality. Monte Carlo analysis shows that a global coefficient separates healthy and faulty cases by only about two standard deviations, whereas the cylinder-resolved peak acceleration ratio separates them by about eight. A threshold of 0.94 on this ratio is therefore proposed for cylinder-by-cylinder screening.

The novelty of the work is the direct parametric link between named defects in a mechanically governed fuel system and the instantaneous speed signature, their ranking on one consistent basis, and a statistically grounded threshold for the cylinder-resolved feature. The practical value is that screening requires only the sensor already fitted to the engine. Future work will include bench calibration against measured pressure and encoder speed traces, drivetrain elasticity and torsional vibration in a multi-degree-of-freedom shaft model, a coupled hydraulic model of the high-pressure line and injector, and outputs for brake-specific fuel consumption, nitrogen oxides, and smoke.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the staff of Kazan State Agrarian University for organisational, scientific, and methodological support and the specialists of TPK MTZ-Tatarstan for expert consultation on the operation of BELARUS-series machinery.

Funding

This study was not supported by any grants from funding bodies in the public, private, or not-for-profit sectors.

Declaration of Competing Interests

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

CRedit Author Contribution Statement

K. Khafizov: Conceptualisation; Methodology; Writing – review and editing.

A. Timirzyanov: Methodology; Software; Formal analysis; Visualisation; Writing; Supervision; – original draft.

R. Khafizov: Validation; Resources; Project administration.

Availability of Data and Materials

The numerical datasets and MATLAB scripts used in this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics Statement

This study did not involve human participants or animal subjects. Ethical approval was therefore not required.

Generative Artificial Intelligence Disclosure

OpenAI GPT-5.6 Pro was used to assist with language editing, consistency checks, reference formatting, and preparation of the response to editorial comments. The authors retain full responsibility for verifying the technical content, numerical results, interpretations, and final wording before submission.

References

- [1] D. K. Dond and N. P. Gulhane, "Effect of injection system parameters on performance and emission characteristics of a small single cylinder diesel engine," *International Journal of Automotive and Mechanical Engineering*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 8790–8801, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.15282/ijame.18.2.2021.17.0673>.
- [2] T. Osipowicz and R. Grzejda, "Influence of wear on precision pair components in modern fuel injectors on the operating and environmental performance of a compression-ignition engine," *International Journal of Automotive and Mechanical Engineering*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 12614–12626, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.15282/ijame.22.3.2025.6.0963>.
- [3] M. Karczewski and K. Koliński, "Diagnostics of common rail components based on pressure curves in the fuel rail," *Combustion Engines*, vol. 173, no. 2, pp. 3–8, 2018, <https://doi.org/10.19206/CE-2018-201>.
- [4] J. Yang, L. Pu, Z. Wang, Y. Zhou, and X. Yan, "Fault detection in a diesel engine by analysing the instantaneous angular speed," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 549–564, 2001, <https://doi.org/10.1006/mssp.2000.1344>.
- [5] T. R. Lin, A. C. C. Tan, L. Ma, and J. Mathew, "Condition monitoring and fault diagnosis of diesel engines using instantaneous angular speed analysis," *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C*, vol. 229, no. 2, pp. 304–315, 2015, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0954406214533253>.
- [6] D. Kazienko and L. Chybowski, "Instantaneous rotational speed algorithm for locating malfunctions in marine diesel engines," *Energies*, vol. 13, no. 6, p. 1396, 2020, <https://doi.org/10.3390/en13061396>.
- [7] J. Jiang, H. Li, Z. Mao, et al., "A digital twin auxiliary approach based on adaptive sparse attention network for diesel engine fault diagnosis," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 12, p. 675, 2022, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-04545-5>.
- [8] S. Li, Z. Liu, Y. Yan, R. Wang, E. Dong, and Z. Cheng, "Research on diesel engine fault status identification method based on synchrosqueezing S-transform and vision transformer," *Sensors*, vol. 23, no. 14, p. 6447, 2023, <https://doi.org/10.3390/s23146447>.
- [9] X. Wang, P. Zhang, W. Gao, Y. Li, Y. Wang, and H. Pang, "Misfire detection using crank speed and long short-term memory recurrent neural network," *Energies*, vol. 15, no. 1, p. 300, 2022, <https://doi.org/10.3390/en15010300>.
- [10] S. Xu, J. Lei, C. Qin, Z. Zhang, J. Tao, and C. Liu, "A domain-adversarial wide-kernel convolutional neural network for noisy domain adaptive diesel engine misfire diagnosis," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, vol. 73, p. 3506819, pp. 1–19, 2024, <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIM.2023.3343796>.
- [11] R. Hasegawa and Y. Aoyama, "A study on misfire detection by calculating crank angular velocity considering in-cylinder gas properties," *International Journal of Engine Research*, vol. 25, no. 10, pp. 1868–1878, 2024, <https://doi.org/10.1177/14680874241261419>.
- [12] J. Gan, H. Jin, Q. Shang, and C. Sheng, "A new method of intelligent fault diagnosis of ship dual-fuel engine based on instantaneous rotational speed," *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, vol. 12, no. 11, p. 2046, 2024, <https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse12112046>.
- [13] Z.-L. Tang, J.-Z. Li, C.-X. Jiang, et al., "Identifying fault cylinder location of diesel engines based on instantaneous speed," *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 225, p. 112301, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2025.112301>.
- [14] Y. Huang, Z. Li, J. Wang, C. Liu, and B. Hu, "Fire fault diagnosis based on signal EEMD of diesel engine crankshaft instantaneous speed," *Transactions of the Beijing Institute of Technology*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 384–390, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.15918/j.tbit.1001-0645.2024.165>.
- [15] V. N. Guerra, B. M. Castro, D. H. C. S. S. Martins, R. H. R. Gutiérrez, and U. A. B. V. Monteiro, "Framework for single misfire identification in a marine diesel engine using machine learning," *Journal of Marine Science and Application*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 1086–1102, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11804-025-00752-y>.
- [16] C. Patil, G. Theotokatos, and K. Tsitsilonis, "Data-driven model for marine engine fault diagnosis using in-cylinder pressure signals," *Journal of Marine Engineering & Technology*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 70–82, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.1080/20464177.2024.2432777>.
- [17] J. Radić, M. Šarić, and A. Rubić, "Spectral-based fault detection method in marine diesel engine operation," *Sensors*, vol. 25, no. 18, p. 5669, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.3390/s25185669>.
- [18] O. Afanaseva, D. Pervukhin, and A. Khatrusov, "Vibration-based condition monitoring of diesel engines in industrial energy applications: A scoping review," *Energies*, vol. 18, no. 21, p. 5717, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.3390/en18215717>.
- [19] A. Helali, I. Belkacem, J. Abdellaoui, and A. Zegnani, "Vibration analysis for diagnosis of diesel engines with air flow sensor failure," *Technologies*, vol. 13, no. 9, p. 380, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.3390/technologies13090380>.
- [20] S. M. Shahid, S. Ko, and S. Kwon, "Real-time abnormality detection and classification in diesel engine operations with convolutional neural network," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 192, p. 116233, 2022, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2021.116233>.

- [21] S. Allam, M. Abdo, and M. Rabie, "Diesel engine fault detection using vibration and acoustic emission signals," *International Journal of Advanced Science Research Engineering*, vol. 4, no. 12, pp. 86–93, 2018, <https://doi.org/10.31695/IJASRE.2018.33005>.
- [22] K. Indriawati, G. F. Yugoputra, N. N. Habibah, and R. Yudhanto, "Artificial neural network-based fault detection system with residual analysis approach on centrifugal pump: A case study," *International Journal of Automotive and Mechanical Engineering*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 10285–10297, 2023, <https://doi.org/10.15282/ijame.20.1.2023.10.0795>.
- [23] J. M. Zikri, M. S. M. Sani, and F. Jalilantabar, "Optimization of noise emission level in diesel engine with biodiesel application using response surface methodology," *International Journal of Automotive and Mechanical Engineering*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 11909–11924, 2024, <https://doi.org/10.15282/ijame.21.4.2024.13.0916>.
- [24] M. Asad, U. Iftikhar, D. Abbasi, and M. B. Jamil, "A comparative analysis of regression modelling and artificial neural networks for diesel engine performance prediction," *International Journal of Automotive and Mechanical Engineering*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 13339–13355, 2026, <https://doi.org/10.15282/ijame.23.1.2026.13.1011>.
- [25] B. Lakshminarayana, A. Kulkarni, G. H. Narayanappa, et al., "Supervised learning technique to develop predictive regression model and performance evaluation of dual bio-diesel blends," *Results in Chemistry*, vol. 26, p. 103330, 2026, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rechem.2026.103330>.
- [26] M. J. Noroozi and M. Seddiq, "Effects of post-injection characteristics on the combustion, emission, and performance in a diesel-syngas reactivity controlled compression ignition engine," *International Journal of Automotive and Mechanical Engineering*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 9006–9021, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.15282/ijame.18.3.2021.13.0690>.
- [27] A. Şanlı and İ. T. Yılmaz, "Cycle-to-cycle combustion analysis in hydrogen fumigated common-rail diesel engine," *Fuel*, vol. 320, p. 123887, 2022, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2022.123887>.
- [28] Z. S. Filipi and D. N. Assanis, "A nonlinear, transient, single-cylinder diesel engine simulation for predictions of instantaneous engine speed and torque," *Journal of Engineering for Gas Turbines and Power*, vol. 123, no. 4, pp. 951–959, 2001, <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.1365122>.
- [29] I. V. Leonov and D. I. Leonov, *Theory of Machines and Mechanisms: Fundamentals of Design Based on Dynamic Criteria and Economic Indicators*. Moscow, Russia: Vysshee Obrazovanie, 2008, ISBN: 978-5-9692-0258-0 [in Russian].
- [30] J. B. Heywood, *Internal Combustion Engine Fundamentals*, 2nd ed. New York, NY, USA: McGraw-Hill Education, 2018, ISBN: 978-1-260-11610-6.
- [31] V. N. Lukanin, K. A. Morozov, A. S. Khachiyan, et al., *Internal Combustion Engines*, vol. 1, Theory of Working Processes, V. N. Lukanin, Ed., 2nd ed. Moscow, Russia: Vysshaya Shkola, 2005, ISBN: 5-06-004142-5 [in Russian].
- [32] I. I. Vibe, *New Information on the Working Cycle of Engines: Combustion Rate and the Engine Cycle*. Moscow–Sverdlovsk, USSR: Mashgiz, 1962, 272 pp. [in Russian].
- [33] G. Woschni, "A universally applicable equation for the instantaneous heat transfer coefficient in the internal combustion engine," SAE Tech. Paper 670931, 1967, <https://doi.org/10.4271/670931>.
- [34] Q. Lan, L. Fan, Y. Bai, Y. Gu, and L. Wen, "Experimental and numerical investigation on pressure characteristics of the dual-valve controlled fuel system for low-speed diesel engines," *Fuel*, vol. 294, p. 120501, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2021.120501>.
- [35] D. W. Green and M. Z. Southard, Eds., *Perry's Chemical Engineers' Handbook*, 9th ed. New York, NY, USA: McGraw-Hill Education, 2018, ISBN: 978-0-07-183408-7.
- [36] M. H. Ali, A. Abdullah, M. H. Mat Yasin, and M. K. Kamarulzaman, "Cyclic pressure variations in a small diesel engine fueled with biodiesel and antioxidant blends," *International Journal of Automotive and Mechanical Engineering*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 7851–7857, 2020, <https://doi.org/10.15282/ijame.17.2.2020.04.0585>.
- [37] P. Charles, J. K. Sinha, F. Gu, L. Lidstone, and A. D. Ball, "Detecting the crankshaft torsional vibration of diesel engines for combustion related diagnosis," *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 321, nos. 3–5, pp. 1171–1185, 2009, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2008.10.024>.
- [38] M. S. Mohd Sani, J. M. Zikri, and A. Abdul Adam, "Sound intensity mapping on single cylinder direct injection diesel engine with the application of palm oil methyl ester biodiesel," *International Journal of Automotive and Mechanical Engineering*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 8833–8844, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.15282/ijame.18.2.2021.21.0677>.