Analysis of Organic Germanium Ge-132 as Cetane Improver in Diesel Combustion Process
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ABSTRACT

The depletion of global petroleum reserves and growth in awareness regarding the environmental pollution of diesel engines urge the reinforcement for the development of alternative fuels. This research experimentally investigated the effect of diesel-organic germanium (Ge-132, 2-Carboxyl Sesquioxide) fuels blend on combustion characteristics, engine performances and exhaust emissions on a direct injection diesel engine at the speed of 1800 rpm at various brake effective pressures. On this occasion, the Ge-132 compound used in this experiment was widely utilized in the medical industry as a dietary supplement that contains therapeutic qualities such as oxygen enrichment, free radical scavenging, and immunity enhancement. Three fuel blends employed in this experiment were Ge5, Ge8, and Ge10 that are used to compare their performances with diesel fuel. In brief, the result stated that the fuel blend of Ge10 showed the highest value of cetane number, which was 8.23% higher compared to the diesel fuel followed by Ge8 and Ge5, which were 7.84 and 7.45% higher than the diesel fuel respectively. Besides, from the experiment, Ge5 decreased the value of BSFC by 26.6% compared to diesel fuel and improved the value of BTE that was 25.6% higher than the diesel fuel.
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INTRODUCTION

The recent development of the economy, mainly in the industrial, energy, and transportation sectors intensified the need for energy such as natural energy, nuclear energy, hydraulic energy, coal and petroleum [1]. However, the ambient air quality is deteriorating year after year, which causes the occurrence of problems such as ozone holes, global warming, greenhouse effects, and acid rains. Indeed, these phenomena mainly occur due to the numerous productions of heavy industries, agricultural machines, and mass transportation, which mostly use the diesel engine as the prime mover [2]. Following the occurrence of these problems, many researchers intend to find out suitable solutions with an urgency to reduce the diesel engine exhaust emissions and to delay the depletion of global petroleum reserves. Researches include the improvement of the diesel engine technology via modification of injection parameter, exhaust gas after-treatment, and the development of environmentally friendly and renewable alternative fuels. Among various methods used, the alternative fuels are the most adequate and feasible technology...
to increase the diesel engine performance efficiently and reduce the exhaust emissions [3].

In the past several decades, a considerable amount of literature has been publishing topics on alternative fuels, involving the development of alternative fuels, including the usage of water-diesel emulsions in the diesel engine [4], biofuels from vegetable oils [5, 6], biofuels from waste cooking oil [7, 8], chemical additives [9, 10], and bio-alcohols [11, 12]. Nevertheless, the process of making biofuels from vegetable oils includes the esterification process, which is too challenging to handle, and the produced ester properties face problems to meet the standards for the engine testing [13]. Hence, some researchers started to focus on experiments involving the effects of chemical additives in the duel such as metal-based, oxygenated, and antioxidant additives and cetane number improver [14]. Since the 1960s, the utilization of cetane number improver in the diesel fuel has been increasing due to the highest demand for diesel fuel relative to other petroleum products. In 1996, engine manufacturers increased the cetane number requirements, to assist them in manufacturing engines that can meet the Clean Air Act requirements [15]. Previous studies have reported that the addition of cetane enhancer of isoamyl nitrite was a key component that helped in ignition delay and premixed combustion duration recovered those of diesel fuel (DF) [16]. On top of that, Lu et al. stated in his study that the addition of cetane number improver in the ethanol-diesel blends improved the carbon monoxide (CO) and hydrocarbon (HC) emissions [17].

Recently, there is no reliable evidence in any literature that shows the usage of organic germanium products or in its scientific name as 2-Carboxyl Sesquioxide (Ge-132) as an additive for DF or biodiesel fuel. Therefore, a detailed new study on the effect of Ge-132 as a cetane improver and its influence on the combustion characteristics, engine performance, and emissions of the diesel engine is called for. In the periodic table, germanium (Ge) is an element inside the carbon group, which easily reacts with oxygen to form complex compound elements in nature [18]. In addition, Ge-132 is a mineral that contains germanium, carbon, hydrogen, and oxygen that can act as a potent pain reliever and enhancer of the immune system [19]. The main purpose of this study is to investigate the effects of diesel-organic germanium fuel blends on the combustion characteristics, performances, and emissions of the diesel engine. Throughout this experiment, the addition of Ge-132 is approximately 5, 8, and 10 mg to 1 litre of DF. The test of the fuel blends on the direct injection diesel engine was at the constant engine speed of 1800 rpm with various brake mean effective pressure (BMEP).

**RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

**Test Engine and Instrumentation**

The experiment used YANMAR TF120M single cylinder, natural aspirated, water-cooled direct injection diesel engine (Table 1 shows the detailed specifications of the engine). The injection of DF was at 17°CA before top dead center (bTDC). Referring to the schematic diagram of the experimental set up shown in Figure 1, the eddy current dynamometer (Focus Applied Technologies model BD-15 kW) with the maximum power of 15 kW mounted in the spherical bearing was fitted directly to the test engine; an S-type load cell force sensor (Zemic H3-C3-500 kg-3B) was used to measure the brake torque of the diesel engine; a digital weight scale CAS (TCS - up to 6 kg) was used to measure the fuel mass flow rate by recording the time required to consume a specific mass of the fuel; a thermocouple logger (PicoLog TC-08 USB) was used in this experiment.
functioning to measure the exhaust gas temperature, fuel temperature, and the ambient air temperature; an exhaust gas analyzer (QRO Technologies QRO-401) was built up with a different infra-red sensing cell, which was used in measuring the exhaust gas emissions of carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide (CO₂), oxygen (O₂), hydrocarbon (HC) and nitrogen dioxide (NOₓ); and a crankshaft angle sensor was used to obtain the crankshaft position, which determines the cylinder gas pressure as the function of crank angle.

Table 1. Details of engine specifications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engine type</td>
<td>YANMAR TF120M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cylinder</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bore x Stroke</td>
<td>92 x 96 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement</td>
<td>0.638 L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression ration</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection timing</td>
<td>17° bTDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous output</td>
<td>10.5 HP at 2400 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated output</td>
<td>12 HP at 2400 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooling system</td>
<td>Water-cooled</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the experimental setup for a single cylinder diesel engine.

**Engine Test Cycle and Test Procedures**

In brief, the comparison of the outcome data was calculated between the three test fuels used in this experiment with DF. These test fuels were Ge5, Ge8, and Ge10, which referred to the addition of 5, 8 and 10 mg of Ge-132, blended in 1 litre of DF respectively. Ultrasonic emulsifier machine (Hielscher Ultrasonic GmbH, UP400S) used in this experiment to mix the diesel-organic germanium fuel blended at 60% Hz stirring speed. The preparation of fuel properties characterizations was strictly done by following the ASTM-D6751-08 guidelines and meet the EN14214 standard specifications. All the data were collected and processed by using the data acquisition of engineering software (DEWESOFT X2). The parameters measured and analysed in this experiment were combustion characteristics, engine performance, and exhaust emissions. This experiment
was carried out at the constant speed of 1800 rpm, with various BMEP. Table 2 shows the result of the physiochemical properties of each test fuels.

Table 2. Details of the fuel properties of tested blends.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Ge5</th>
<th>Ge8</th>
<th>Ge10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity</td>
<td>mm²/s</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>3.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>kg/m³</td>
<td>828.69</td>
<td>842.30</td>
<td>844.85</td>
<td>850.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calorific value</td>
<td>MJ/kg</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>49.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cetane number</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>55.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This investigation involved the comparison on performance, combustion, and emission characteristics between DF and the diesel-organic germanium fuel blends. The result for combustion characteristics of peak in-cylinder pressure was plotted with the position of crank angle against the BMEP value. Meanwhile, for engine performance and exhaust emissions, the data was plotted against the BMEP reading.

Combustion Characteristics – In-Cylinder Peak Pressure

Figure 2 shows the reading of in-cylinder peak pressure of the diesel engine fuelled with diesel-organic germanium blends and DF at the speed of 1800 rpm with various BMEP readings. From this figure, as the BMEP of the engine increases, the in-cylinder peak pressure increases as well. For instance, from the graph, the reading of in-cylinder peak pressure for Ge10 shows an increment of 25.4% from the lowest (35 kPa) to the highest (637 kPa) BMEP reading. This result can be explained by the fact that additional fuel was sprayed into the cylinder to cause a high rate of combustion at the highest BMEP value [20]. Meanwhile, at 0% of the load of BMEP value ranging from 34 kPa to 67 kPa, Ge5 shows the lowest peak pressure followed by DF, Ge8, and Ge10. The peak pressure of Ge5 decreases approximately by 1.92% compared to DF, whereas Ge8 shows the highest peak pressure at approximately 3.23% higher compared to DF. This result attributes to the higher viscosity of Ge8 that caused poor atomization which delayed the start of premixed combustion and increased the combustion rate after the delayed start [21].

On the other hand, at the load of 25% to 100% of the BMEP reading ranging from 104 kPa to 638 kPa, the figures show that Ge10 has the highest value of peak pressure compared to the other blended fuels used in this experiment. This correlation is related to the properties of Ge-132 which is an oxygenated compound that helps in improving the combustion of the engine. Sathiyamoorthi et al. [22] stated in his study that the usage of ethanol which has an oxygenated content, can result in a rapid pressure rise and peak cylinder pressure due to the diesel engine getting additional oxygen to burn, when more oxygenated fuel is accumulated during the delay period.
Figure 2. Peak pressure behaviour and crank angle for various BMEP at 1800 rpm.

Engine Performance – BSFC and BTE

Figure 3 illustrates the brake specific fuel consumption (BSFC) characteristics for various blended fuels used in the experiments. The value of BSFC gradually decreases with the increase of the BMEP reading. For example, the BSFC value of Ge8 from the lowest BMEP (38 kPa) to the highest BMEP (605 kPa) decreases to 75.6% significantly. The result obtained has been reported previously by Kotebavi et al. [23] in his experiment in which he stated that at the highest load, the increasing temperature of the cylinder wall can reduce the ignition delay. Thus, shortening ignition delay will lead to an improvement in combustion and a reduction in fuel consumption. From the figure shown, a remarkable result can be seen at the lowest BMEP of the diesel engine that shows Ge10 has the highest BSFC value, which is 67.5% higher than DF. As stated in the previous study by Devan et al. [24] the reason for the highest BSFC reading is due to the difference in the density and the heating value of the blend fuels and DF.

In Table 2, despite having the highest calorific value which is 9.2% higher than DF, the percentage difference of viscosity for Ge10 and DF is larger which is 12.5% higher compared to DF, thus affecting the BSFC performance of Ge10. This finding corroborated the ideas of Attia et al. [25] who stated that the reading of BSFC is increased due to the higher viscosity and density of the blended fuels in order to reimburse the worsening of fuel atomization and combustion inequality. On the contrary, despite having the lowest peak pressure, Ge5 showed the lowest BSFC value which is 26.6% lower compared to DF. According to Table 2, the value of cetane number of Ge5 is 7.45% higher compared to DF which reflects the findings of Lin et al. [26] who stated that the fuel with higher cetane index has a superior compression ignition quality in the diesel engine and thus provide a better combustion characteristic.
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Figure 3. BSFC behaviour for various BMEP at 1800 rpm.

Figure 4 shows the reading of brake thermal efficiency (BTE) for various BMEP values at the speed of 1800 rpm. The figure illustrates that the BTE increases with the increase of BMEP value and drops significantly at the load of 75% at which the BMEP value ranges from 436 to 451 kPa. In this case, the figure shows that at the lowest load, the value of BTE for Ge10 is 6.27% which then increases to 28.7% at the load of 75% and decreases to 28.4% at the highest load. The result obtained reflects the findings of Babu et al. [27], who stated in his experiment that BTE increased up to 80% and then decreased when the engine load increased. Concurrently, this was due to the accumulation of the fuel in the combustion chamber caused by the larger amount of fuel injected at full load condition, which led to the possibility of incomplete combustion. Meanwhile, at the load of 50%, which the BMEP ranged from 249 to 281 kPa, Ge5 had the highest BTE which was 12.74% higher than DF respectively. Referring to Table 2, Ge5 has a higher heating value of 8.25% compared to DF, which improves the BTE of the engine. In brief, this finding corroborates with the ideas of Saleh et al. [28] who stated that the oxygen content of the fuel blends helped in increasing the combustion efficiency and decreased the heat losses in the cylinder.

**Emission – CO, CO₂, O₂, and NOₓ**

Figure 5 shows the CO emission for the variances of BMEP reading at the speed of 1800 rpm for all the fuel blends used in this experiment. From the graph, it is observed that the CO emission increased from the lowest BMEP to the highest BMEP of the diesel engine. For instance, as shown in the graph, the value of CO emission for Ge8 increases from 0.02 to 0.07%. Previously, Venu et al. [29] stated that at the highest load, more fuel would enter the cylinders of the engine. Afterward, when more fuel with less energy content took part in the combustion, incomplete combustion occurred and resulted in higher CO emission. On the contrary, throughout the operating conditions of the engine, DF had the lowest CO emission. As an example, at the highest BMEP reading, the difference in value of Ge5 compared to DF was approximately 11.7% higher than DF. In accordance with the present result, previous studies done by Lu et al. [17] demonstrated that the incomplete combustion of the blended fuels led to the increase of CO emission level.
In another case, Figure 6 illustrates that as the BMEP value of the engine increases, the emission of CO\(_2\) increases. It can also be observed that CO\(_2\) emission increases as the value of Ge-132 inside DF increases. According to the figure, at the load of 75%, where the BMEP range from 436 to 451 kPa, Ge8 has the highest CO\(_2\) emission which is 1.08% higher compared to Ge5. This result is supported by Sakthivel et al. [30] who stated that as the CO\(_2\) emission increased, the blended fuel proportion increased due to the higher oxygen content inside the blends which enhanced the fuel blends being burned efficiently than DF. On top of that, throughout the experiment, the figure shows that Ge10 has the highest CO\(_2\) emission compared to DF. This can be seen clearly at the highest BMEP that the CO\(_2\) emission is 10.6% higher than DF. This finding can be explained by the fact that the fuel-bound oxygen is now assisting the CO oxidation to CO\(_2\) [31]. The utilization of the excess oxygen in the oxygen combustion chamber improves the combustion process that enhances the conversion of CO to CO\(_2\) [31].

---

**Figure 4.** BTE behaviour with various BMEP at 1800 rpm.

**Figure 5.** CO emission behaviour for various BMEP at 1800 rpm.
Figure 6. CO$_2$ emission behaviour for different BMEP at 1800 rpm.

One can observe in Figure 7 the variations of O$_2$ concentration in the exhaust emission against the different BMEP of the engine at the speed of 1800 rpm. From the figure, O$_2$ emission decreases with the increase of the BMEP of the engine. As example, from the lowest BMEP to the highest BMEP, the value O$_2$ emission for Ge8 decreases to 52.5%. Moreover, it can be observed throughout the experiment that DF has the highest O$_2$ emission compared to the fuel blends. On the contrary, Ge10 shows the lowest O$_2$ emission compared to DF. At the highest reading of BMEP, the value of O$_2$ emission for Ge10 is 11.3% lower compared to DF. Overall, as stated by Patnaik et al. [32] in his previous experiment, the utilization of the excess oxygen in the combustion chamber helps in improving the combustion of the fuel blends.

Figure 7. O$_2$ emission behaviour for different BMEP at 1800 rpm.

The factors that lead to the formation of NO$_x$ emissions are the high temperature and oxygen enrichment of the fuel blends. The NO$_x$ emissions of the blended fuels at the selected operating conditions are shown in Figure 8. The result of this study indicates that
the emission of NO$_x$ increases as the value of BMEP of the engine increases. In this case, from the figure, the value of NO$_x$ emission for Ge5 increases to 26.1% from the lowest BMEP to the highest BMEP. These findings support the idea of Huang et al. [33] who stated that the increase in BMEP would provide the high temperature environment required for the formation of NO$_x$ emission. This is attributed to when the value of BMEP increases, the temperature of the in-cylinder combustion will become higher and thus increases the injection of the fuel quantity per cycle. In contrast, the graph shows that throughout the experiments of the diesel engine, DF has the lowest NO$_x$ emission compared to the blend fuels. However, the difference between the fuel blends and DF is not vastly different. For example, at the highest load, all the blended fuels; Ge5, Ge8 and Ge10 is approximately 1.17%, 3.33% and 6.23% higher compared to DF. This present finding seems to be consistent with Damodharan et al. [34] who found that NO$_x$ emission increased gradually with the increasing concentration of n-butanol fuel due to the increased oxygen content in the blend fuels. As mentioned before, Ge-132 acts as the oxygenated compound, which helps in setting for the NO$_x$ emission development.

![Figure 8. NO$_x$ emission behaviour for different BMEP at 1800 rpm.](image)

**CONCLUSION**

The purpose of the current study is to determine the effect of diesel-organic germanium blend on the combustion characteristics, performance and emissions of the diesel engine at the constant engine speed of 1800 rpm with various BMEP readings. Throughout the whole experimental analysis, the following conclusions can be stated:

i. The fuel blends have higher cetane number compared to DF and is therefore suitable to be used as the cetane improver, which (Both Ge5 and Ge8 have a higher value cetane number of 7.45% compared to DF. Meanwhile, Ge10 is 8.24% higher than DF).

ii. The value of BTE for the blend fuels improves at the lowest load, at which Ge10 has 79.9% higher value of BTE compared to DF. On the contrary, the addition of Ge-132 inside DF increases the BSFC of the engine at the lowest load, at which the value of BSFC for Ge10 is 67.5% higher than DF.

iii. The production of O$_2$ and CO$_2$ emissions shows that the addition of Ge-132 improves the combustion performance of the fuel. However, Ge-132 increases the
emission of CO and NO\textsubscript{x} but in a slightly different value compared to DF. For instance, at the highest load, Ge\textsubscript{5}, Ge\textsubscript{8} and Ge\textsubscript{10} is 1.17%, 3.33% and 6.23% higher compared to DF.

The results suggest that the most promising blend as an alternative fuel for DF is Ge\textsubscript{5} due to its effect on engine performance and emissions. Ge\textsubscript{5} decreases the BSFC of the diesel engine; while Ge\textsubscript{8} and Ge\textsubscript{10} show the highest reading of BSFC than the DF. Ge\textsubscript{5} also increases NO\textsubscript{x} of the diesel engine slightly, but not more than that of the diesel fuel.
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